

Ellipticals with Kinematically–Distinct Cores: WFPC2 Imaging of Globular Clusters¹

Duncan A. Forbes

Lick Observatory, University of California, Santa Cruz, CA 95064

Electronic mail: forbes@lick.ucsc.edu

Marijn Franx

Kapteyn Institute, University of Groningen, P.O. Box 800, 9700 AV Groningen, The
Netherlands

Electronic mail: franx@astro.rug.nl

Garth D. Illingworth

Lick Observatory, University of California, Santa Cruz, CA 95064

Electronic mail: gdi@lick.ucsc.edu

C. M. Carollo

Leiden Observatory, 2300 RA Leiden, The Netherlands

Electronic mail: carollo@strw.leidenuniv.nl

Received _____; accepted _____

¹Based on observations with the NASA/ESA *Hubble Space Telescope*, obtained at the Space Telescope Science Institute, which is operated by AURA, Inc., under NASA contract NAS 5–26555

ABSTRACT

New globular clusters may form in the merger of two galaxies. Perhaps the best examples of merger remnants are the set of ellipticals with kinematically-distinct cores. Here we present *HST* WFPC2 imaging of 14 kinematically-distinct core ellipticals to examine their globular cluster systems. In particular, we probe the galaxy central regions, for which we might expect to see the strongest signatures of some formation and destruction processes. These data substantially increase the number of extragalactic globular cluster systems studied to date. We have developed a method for galaxy subtraction and selection of globular clusters which results in about two hundred globulars per galaxy to a limiting magnitude of $V \sim 25$. Simulations of artificial globulars are also described.

We find that the globular cluster luminosity, and color, vary only weakly, if at all, with galactocentric distance. The mean color of globular clusters are constant with globular cluster magnitude. Several clear trends are also present. Firstly, globular cluster colors are bluer (more metal poor by ~ 0.5 dex) than the underlying galaxy starlight at any given galactocentric distance. Second, we find a correlation over roughly ten magnitudes between the mean globular cluster metallicity and parent galaxy luminosity of the form $Z \propto L^{0.4}$. This relationship includes dwarf ellipticals, spiral galaxy bulges and giant ellipticals. Third, we find that globular cluster surface density distribution can be described by a core model, for which the core radius correlates with galaxy luminosity. Lastly, for the sample as a whole, the globular cluster systems are closely aligned with the galaxy major axis and are slightly rounder than the galaxy itself, although there are some notable exceptions.

Our results favor scenarios in which ellipticals form from massive, gas rich

progenitors at early epochs. Detailed simulations of the formation of globular cluster systems would be valuable to draw firmer conclusions.

Subject headings: galaxies: elliptical, galaxies: nuclei, galaxies: individual, globular clusters: general

1. Introduction

Globular clusters, as well as being interesting in their own right, provide important clues to the formation and evolution of their parent galaxy (see for example Harris 1991 and references therein). In particular, the merger of two spiral galaxies may lead to the formation of an elliptical galaxy and create an additional population of globular clusters (GCs) in the process (Schweizer 1987). This potentially overcomes the objection raised by van den Bergh (1990) that ellipticals have many more GCs, per unit light, than expected from simply combining the observed GC population of two spirals. This idea was expanded upon by Ashman & Zepf (1992). In their model, new GCs are formed in massive gas clouds from the progenitor spirals. These gas clouds are of higher metallicity and are more centrally concentrated than the original GCs belonging to the spirals. Thus an observational consequence of such a model is a radial metallicity gradient and at least two peaks in the metallicity distribution of the resultant GC population.

Kinematically-distinct core (KDC) ellipticals have central regions that rotate rapidly, and often in an opposite direction, compared to the stars in the outer parts of the galaxy. They are found in about 1/3 of all ellipticals and are generally thought to be the result of a merger (Illingworth & Franx 1989). This merger may involve the accretion of a small secondary (Balcells & Quinn 1990), although this model has difficulty explaining the metallicity (Bender & Surma 1992) and surface brightness (Forbes, Franx & Illingworth 1995) profiles of some KDC galaxies. A more plausible alternative involves the merger of gas-rich galaxies in which gas dissipates into the central regions and subsequent star formation leads to a KDC. Hernquist & Barnes (1991) have studied this for the case of two near equal mass disk galaxies. Many KDC galaxies show evidence for small scale dust lanes and rings (Forbes, Franx & Illingworth 1995) further supporting the idea that these galaxies have accreted material. Thus KDC galaxies offer an ideal sample in which to

study their GC population and critically examine the hypothesis of merger-induced GC formation. In addition, such ellipticals cover a range of environments (which may affect the relative number of GCs and metallicity; Harris 1991) and X-ray luminosities (which could contribute to GC formation via a cooling flow; Fabian, Nulsen & Canizares 1984). The results for KDC ellipticals may also be applicable to other elliptical galaxies, as our WFPC1 study indicated that the photometric properties of KDC ellipticals follow the same scaling relations as ‘kinematically normal’ ellipticals (Forbes, Franx & Illingworth 1995).

Previous studies of GC systems in kinematically-distinct core galaxies have included NGC 4278 (Harris & van den Bergh 1981), NGC 4365 (Harris *et al.* 1991), NGC 4406 (Hanes 1977), NGC 4472 (Harris 1986; Couture *et al.* 1991) and the work of Ajhar *et al.* (1994) who studied NGC 4365, NGC 4406, NGC 4472 and NGC 4552. However these ground-based studies, and those of others, are limited to relatively large galactocentric radii by seeing and blending effects, whereas we might expect the inner regions to have the strongest signatures of some formation and destruction mechanisms. In a review, Harris (1993) stated that “...essentially nothing is known about the inner globular clusters in most galaxies, and much new observational work could be done in this direction.”

Here we present F555W (V) and F814W (I) Wide Field and Planetary Camera 2 (WFPC2) images of 14 ellipticals with well-established KDCs. The superior resolution of *HST* makes it possible to probe GC properties within one effective radius of the parent galaxy. To date only two other ellipticals, NGC 4881 (Baum *et al.* 1995) and M87 (Elson & Santiago 1996; Whitmore *et al.* 1995), have published WFPC2 data on their GC system. We examine the magnitude, color and spatial distributions of the GC system in the central few kpc of each galaxy. Results for the parent galaxies themselves, and implications for the formation mechanisms of KDCs, will be presented elsewhere (Carollo *et al.* 1996). We assume $h = H_0/100 \text{ km s}^{-1} \text{ Mpc}^{-1}$ throughout the paper, although in a future paper we will

discuss the use of our GC luminosity functions for the derivation of the Hubble constant.

2. Observations and Initial Data Reduction

A total of 14 kinematically-distinct core galaxies were observed with *HST*'s WFPC2 between 1994 April and November. These galaxies represent most of the well-established cases known to date. Their properties are summarized in Table 1. Data for each galaxy consist of a pair of 500s F555W images and a pair of 230s F814W images. The nucleus of each galaxy is located near the center of the PC CCD. All 4 CCDs are 800×800 pixels, with a scale of $0.046''/\text{pix}$ for the PC and $0.097''/\text{pix}$ for the WFC CCD.

The standard STScI pipeline software was used for the initial data reduction. Before combining each pair of images, we needed to check the relative alignment. We find that each pair of F555W and F814W images are aligned to within < 0.1 WFC pixel ($< 0.01''$), and so can be combined without any shifting. Each pair of images is combined using the STSDAS task *crrej* which has been modified by J. Biretta for WFPC2 images. The raw frames contain a large number of cosmic rays, hot pixels and cold pixels. Hot pixels are created at a rate of hundreds per month (Holtzman *et al.* 1995a) and tend to be single pixel events, whereas cold pixels (CCD traps) are more stable with time. The combining task does a very good job of removing cosmic rays and to some extent hot pixels (see discussion below). Using lists of CCD traps kindly supplied by the WFPC group at STScI, we have interpolated over all such pixels. We have also trimmed each image to exclude the ‘obscured region’ near the pyramid edges, yielding 751×751 pixel images. The final trimmed images are missing $< 1\%$ of the effective area because the WFPC2 field-of-view actually overlaps each CCD by about 40 pixels.

We then made a first order attempt to remove the galaxy background. For the WFC

images there is a slight background gradient which is strongest towards the direction of the pyramid center (which is the direction of the galaxy center). This gradient is largely removed by a bi-cubic spline fit. For the PC image, we fit the galaxy isophotes using the STSDAS task *ellipse* (see Forbes & Thomson 1992). By subtracting these models we are left with globular clusters, foreground stars, small faint galaxies and possibly dust features at the center of the galaxy. An example of a background-subtracted F555W image for NGC 1427 is shown in Fig. 1 (Plate **). Most of the objects are globular clusters, and similar numbers appear on each image (for the PC image, the increased cluster density roughly compensates for the reduced areal coverage).

2.1. Globular Cluster Selection and Measurement

The selection and photometry of GCs is carried out using the IRAF version of *daophot* (Stetson 1987). Selection of objects is based on the F555W image, which has a higher S/N than the F814W image for the objects of interest. The same *daofind* parameters are used for each galaxy. In particular, we use roundness parameters -0.5 to 0.5 and a threshold in counts of 4 DN (data number) for the PC and 5 DN for WFC images. We have adopted a fairly tight shape constraint so that the detected objects are not too distorted by noise or nearby dust features, which may also affect their magnitudes and colors. The disadvantage of this approach is that a few faint sources, that are probably real GCs, will not be detected by *daophot*, although measurement of these objects would give spurious results and so they would be rejected from the subsequent analysis anyway. Tests on actual WFC images indicates that to $V = 25$, $\sim 10\%$ of detected sources are more elongated than our roundness criterion. Visual inspection of these sources suggests that about half (5%) are clearly not GCs, i.e. they are noise, diffraction spikes of stars or galaxies. Thus we are excluding less than 5% of GCs with our conservative roundness criterion. A similar situation is present

for PC images in which there is no dust. For the dusty galaxies, *daophot* detects many sources more elongated than our roundness constraint. Here it is more difficult to make conclusive statements, however a visual inspection of these images suggests that *daophot* is only missing a small number (~ 5 per galaxy) of possible GCs to $V = 25$. The colors of GCs located at the edges of dust patches is discussed in section 4.4.

For the PC image, the limiting magnitude for point sources is about 0.3^m brighter than for the WFC images (Burrows *et al.* 1993). To compensate for this we chose a slightly lower count threshold for the detection of objects, i.e. 4 DN (data number) instead of 5 DN. In order to confirm that all four CCDs have similar detection characteristics, we have combined the objects detected by *daophot* for NGC 4365 and NGC 5982 (the only two galaxies in the sample with no obvious dust in the PC or WFC frames). We find that each CCD has a similar detection fraction of objects to $V = 25$.

We also tested an alternative method of selecting objects independently on both the F814W and F555W images, and including only those objects in common to give an object list. This method gave significantly fewer objects at faint magnitudes because the F555W image has a higher effective S/N for GCs than the F814W image. We believe that the additional faint objects (found using the selection in the F555W image only method) are real detections, as their mean color is indistinguishable from that of the brighter sources.

Photometry of the detected objects is carried out for both the F814W and F555W images using the coordinates of the objects selected from the F555W image. Any residual background counts remaining from the background fits are removed by doing ‘sky’ subtraction in an annulus around each object. We have chosen to measure magnitudes in a small aperture and make aperture corrections in order to reduce the noise from the background in very large apertures (the WFPC2 PSF actually contains some light out to 30 WFC pixels). The optimum aperture for stars has been determined by several workers to

be 2–3 WFC pixels radius (Whitmore 1995). Our tests of the scatter in V–I color of actual GCs indicates that to $V = 25$ the dispersions between 2 and 3 pixel apertures deviate by $< 5\%$ (in the sense that the 2 pixel aperture has a smaller dispersion). However with the 2 pixel apertures, there are several issues that may cause a systematic bias in the final photometry, such as centering, small area calculations and the largely unknown variation of aperture corrections with position on the CCD. We have taken what we believe to be the conservative approach and used 3 pixel radius apertures. These aperture magnitudes are converted to total magnitudes using the encircled energy tables of Holtzman *et al.* (1995a), i.e. for F555W the correction is 0.23 and 0.16 mags for the PC and WFC CCDs respectively, and for F814W, 0.32 and 0.16 mags. These aperture corrections are within 0.05 mags of those used by Whitmore *et al.* (1995) based on measurements of isolated stars. The geometric distortion between F555W and F814W is negligible. In order to convert WFPC2 flight magnitudes to a ground-based standard photometric system we use (Holtzman *et al.* 1995b):

$$V = 21.724 - 2.5 \log(\text{DN/s}) - 0.052 (V-I) + 0.027 (V-I)^2 \quad (1)$$

$$I = 20.840 - 2.5 \log(\text{DN/s}) - 0.063 (V-I) + 0.025 (V-I)^2 \quad (2)$$

These equations are for a gain setting of 15, which applies to all but three of our galaxies (we added 0.745^m to the zeropoints for gain setting 7). Assuming $(V-I) = (\text{F555W mag.} - \text{F814W mag.})$ in the above relations introduces a rms error of $< 1.5\%$ in the final magnitude determination given the range of GC colors. Finally we have corrected the magnitudes for Galactic extinction, listed in Table 1. We have restricted the detected magnitude range to $19 < V < 26$, as there appear to be no GCs brighter than $V \sim 20$ (brighter sources appear

to be stars) and fainter than $V \sim 25$ (this is our effective limiting magnitude and will be discussed further in the next section).

For each selected object we have fit the profile with a Gaussian and measured its FWHM size, determined R.A. and Dec. positions using the STSDAS task *metric*, calculated position angle on the sky, and the radial distance from the galaxy center. Thus we now have a list of position, V magnitude and its error, I magnitude and its error, V–I color and its error from a quadrature sum of the photometric errors, size, position angle and galactocentric distance for each object.

At this point the list may include hot pixels, foreground stars, faint galaxies as well as globular clusters. Hot pixels can be effectively excluded by comparing the positions of detected objects (in pixel coordinates) to the location of known hot pixels shortly after the galaxy observation date. These known hot pixels should be a superset of the hot pixels for our actual data. The exception might be NGC 1700 which was observed in 1994 April 17 before the April 23 thermal cycling (we rely on object sizes and colors to check the reality of selected objects for this galaxy). We have checked to see if any hot pixels lie within 3 pixels of our selected objects, and typically find only half a dozen coincidences per galaxy. These objects are then removed from the list.

Elson & Santiago (1996) estimate that a typical high galactic latitude field contains about 2 foreground stars per WFC image in the magnitude range $20 < V < 26$. The PC image contains a smaller number. Thus contamination by foreground stars is very small. Furthermore, we have removed all objects with FWHM size ≥ 3.0 pixels (typically 5 per image). This is about the size expected for the large Galactic globular cluster Omega Cen if it were placed at Virgo distance ($h = 0.75$) and convolved with *HST*’s PSF (Grillmair 1995). Thus for intrinsically smaller globulars or ellipticals more distant than Virgo, the expected globular size is < 3 PC pixels. Visual inspection reveals that most objects with

sizes > 3 pixels are probably background galaxies. Studies of random high galactic latitude WFPC2 fields suggest that the number of small ($\text{FWHM} < 3$ pixels) galaxies to $I = 22$ ($V \sim 23.5$) is perhaps only one or two per WFC CCD image (Forbes *et al.* 1994; Phillips *et al.* 1995). Galaxies fainter than $V = 24$ are generally not detected in these images.

2.2. Errors and Completeness Tests

Photometric errors and sample completeness have been estimated in a manner similar to that described by Secker & Harris (1993). Using the IRAF task *psf* we created an artificial object from the average shape of ~ 20 real GCs. This was done for both filters in a WFC image. We then populated a relatively empty image (the few existing GCs are interpolated across) with artificial GCs. The noise characteristics of this image are fairly representative for all galaxies. The objects were placed at random locations, using the task *addstar*, in 1 mag bins to cover the range $21 < V < 25.5$. Objects in the I images were generated at the same position as in the V images with an input color of $V-I = 1.0$. Several hundred artificial GCs were created, but no more than 150 per image to ensure that blending of objects did not occur (of the total available area, 150 GCs covers less than 1%). Even for the richest GC systems in our sample, there is no evidence of blending. The next step is to find and measure magnitudes of these artificial GCs. This is done using *daophot* with the same selection and photometry parameters as for the real data.

In Fig. 2 we plot the difference in the actual input magnitude of the artificial GC and its measured magnitude as a function of input magnitude. This gives us an estimate of the measurement, or photometric error, for our sample. The photometric error is fairly symmetric about zero, showing that random errors dominate over any systematic bias. Taking the absolute value of the error, we fit an exponential of the form

$$pe(m) = \exp [a (m - b)] \quad (3)$$

to describe the photometric error. Here pe is the absolute value of the input minus output magnitude, m is the GC magnitude, a and b are coefficients. For the V band data we find that $a = 0.83$ and $b = 26.84$, and for the I band data $a = 1.07$ and $b = 23.99$. This gives a photometric error of 0.1^m at $V \sim 24$ and $I \sim 22$. We can compare these error functions to the measurement errors determined directly by *daophot* for the real GCs. Reassuringly, the variation of the measurement error with magnitude is very similar to that determined from our tests described above. This gives us confidence in the magnitude and color errors given by *daophot*.

Although we will not discuss GC luminosity functions, it is still of interest to determine the completeness function for our data, i.e. quantify the ability of *daophot* to detect GCs as a function of magnitude. Here we use the same input lists of artificial GCs as above, but now consider whether each added GC was recovered by *daophot*. The ratio of the number of input objects to those detected gives the sample completeness. The V completeness function (cf) for a WFC image is shown in Fig. 3a. We find that our sample is essentially 100% complete to $V \sim 24$, and that our detection limit is $V \sim 25$. The cf is fit over the range of interest with two exponentials. The first, $cf(m) = a \exp[b/(m - c)]$ is fit to magnitudes brighter than the 50% completeness level and $cf(m) = a / \exp[b(m - c)]$ to magnitudes fainter than the 50% level, where a , b and c are coefficients. At the 50% completeness level these functions agree within $\pm 0.03^m$. To first order we would expect all four CCDs to have a similar completeness function since the detection rate as a function of magnitude is similar for each CCD.

3. Results

3.1. Simulations

Before presenting the real data, we need to check whether our detection and photometry procedure has introduced any obvious bias. As mentioned earlier, the variation of *daophot* computed photometric errors with total magnitude is consistent with that from the simulations. It is possible that our procedure introduces a detection or photometric bias that depends on distance from the galaxy center. In figures 3b and 3c we show the radial V magnitude and V–I color profiles using the same detection and photometry methods as for the real data. The V magnitudes of the simulated GCs were randomly distributed between $V = 21$ and $V = 26$, while the I magnitudes were set to be $I = V - 1.0$. We find that the simulated GCs do *not* show a trend with galactocentric radius in either magnitude or color. Thus any statistically significant radial gradients seen in the actual WFPC2 data are likely to be real.

3.2. Globular Clusters

Color–magnitude diagrams for the sample galaxies are presented in Fig. 4. These show that most galaxies reveal a relatively tight concentration of points around $V-I \sim 1$, and that this average color is relatively constant with magnitude. The dispersion in color increases at faint magnitudes. At the detection limit we would expect the average color to become redder as we are biased against detecting blue objects but this is not seen due to our conservative magnitude cutoff. The raw (i.e. not corrected for incompleteness) globular cluster luminosity functions (GCLFs) are shown in Fig. 5. A variety of shapes are seen.

For some galaxies the expected apparent magnitude peak in the GCLF, if it is universal, is within our completeness limit (e.g. NGC 4278) while for others it is well beyond (e.g. NGC 1700). Globular cluster luminosity functions corrected for incompleteness will be discussed in a future paper. The distribution of globular cluster colors for our sample is shown in Fig. 6. These histograms show that there is a fairly small range in the mean GC color from galaxy to galaxy.

At this stage, we have decided to apply an additional selection criterion based on GC color. For the subsequent results and analysis given below, we will restrict the data set for each galaxy to GCs with colors within 3σ of the mean. This should remove any objects with deviant colors that are due to undetected cosmic rays or noise spikes. Such a selection process removes typically only a small fraction of objects from the list. Nevertheless, we have checked the rejected objects to ensure that we are not excluding the most interesting candidates. Objects with extreme red or blue colors do *not* lie preferentially at small or large galactocentric distances, so we are not for example removing centrally located blue GCs. The objects with extreme colors tend also to be the faintest sources (see Fig. 4) suggesting that photometric errors play a large role in determining their deviant colors. We are confident that our final list does not contain any hot pixels or resolved galaxies and that the contribution from cosmic rays, foreground stars and unresolved galaxies is negligible.

After applying this 3σ rejection, we give a summary of the GC system properties for each galaxy in Table 2. The number of GCs per galaxy ranges from 39 (NGC 1700) to 328 (NGC 4365). For the sample as a whole the mean color is $V-I = 1.09$. Assuming that $V-I$ colors correspond to metallicity, we also include in Table 2 the inferred metallicity using the relation from Couture *et al.* (1990), i.e.

$$[\text{Fe}/\text{H}] = 5.051 (V-I) - 6.096 \quad (4)$$

Next we examine the projected radial distribution of GC magnitude, color and surface density. Globular cluster magnitude as a function of galactocentric radius is plotted in Fig. 7. Most galaxies are consistent with no mean GC magnitude variation with distance from the galaxy center. We note that there are slight differences for some galaxies, for example NGC 4494 appears to have a small enhancement of bright GCs in the central kpc whereas for NGC 5982 they seem to be lacking.

In Fig. 8 we show the radial distribution of GC color. We have fit a linear regression line over the full range of galactocentric radius for each galaxy. An iterative fit was performed, with each data point inversely weighted by its error from *daophot* (which gives less weight to fainter GCs). The slopes are listed in Table 2. Most of the sample galaxies show little, or no, evidence for a GC color gradient with galactocentric radius. There are six galaxies which show weak radial color gradients. We also show in Fig. 8 the color gradient of the underlying galaxy at small radii from Carollo *et al.* (1996). Although the GC colors overlap with that of the galaxy starlight, the GC colors are systematically bluer on average than the galaxy itself. The difference for the whole sample is $\Delta (V-I) \sim 0.10 \pm 0.06$.

We show the surface density, per kpc^2 , of GCs with galactocentric radius in Fig. 9. The GC counts have been restricted to position angles covering only one hemisphere, or side, of the galaxy (i.e. WFPC2 angles of $V3 \pm 90^\circ$). For this hemisphere, the WFPC2 field-of-view provides almost complete coverage (we are missing $<1\%$ of the area) out to some fixed galactocentric distance (i.e. $100''$). To estimate the total surface density we multiply the number of GCs by two. Fig. 9 shows the average surface density in several annular bins with Poisson errors, and the underlying galaxy light profile normalized by an arbitrary vertical offset.

The GC surface density profile for all galaxies appears to rise less steeply, than the

galaxy light, towards the galaxy center. For two galaxies (NGC 5813 and IC 1459) there is even an indication that the number density falls in the central kpc. In order to quantify the profiles we have fit a ‘core model’ of the form $\rho = \rho_o(r_c^2 + r^2)^{-1}$. The measured core radii (r_c) are given in Table 2 and plotted against galaxy absolute magnitude in Fig. 10. A weighted fit gives $r_c = -0.62 \pm 0.1 M_V - 11.0$. A correlation is seen in the sense that more luminous galaxies have a larger GC system core radii. The correlation between GC core radius and galaxy effective radius is weaker than for galaxy luminosity.

As noted above, we have almost complete areal coverage of 180° allowing us to also examine the azimuthal distribution of GCs on one side of the galaxy. Fig. 11 shows the azimuthal distribution for the sample galaxies $\pm 90^\circ$ from the galaxy major axis. Some galaxies show high counts close to 0° indicating that the GC system is closely aligned with the galaxy major axis.

4. Discussion

4.1. Comparison with ground-based data

Three of the galaxies in our sample have had their GC systems studied previously using ground-based data. The single filter photographic studies of NGC 4278 (Harris & van den Bergh 1981), NGC 4406 (Hanes 1977; Cohen 1988) and the CCD study of NGC 4365 (Harris *et al.* 1991) generally concentrated on GCLFs and their usefulness as distance indicators. Harris (1991) quotes a specific frequency (S_N) for these galaxies of 6.1 for NGC 4278, 7.7 for NGC 4365 and 5.4 for NGC 4406 (Harris assumed $h = 0.75$). The specific frequency is a measure of the relative richness of a GC system normalized to the parent galaxy luminosity. Multicolor CCD data are available for NGC 4365 and NGC 4406 from

Ajhar *et al.* (1994). These data were obtained on the KPNO 4m as part of a surface brightness fluctuation study and have a magnitude limit of about $V < 22.5$. We have applied the same 3σ rejection criterion to their GC data (kindly supplied by E. Ajhar) and find a mean color of $V-I = 1.10 \pm 0.01$ for 125 GCs in NGC 4365 and $V-I = 0.98 \pm 0.01$ for 96 GCs in NGC 4406. These colors are in very good agreement with those quoted in Table 2. Although their sample is smaller and has a brighter cutoff magnitude, it extends to slightly larger galactocentric distances. We have combined our sample with that of Ajhar *et al.* to show the radial variation of GC color for NGC 4365 and NGC 4406 (see Fig. 12). Ajhar *et al.* concluded that there was little, or no, radial gradient in either NGC 4365 or NGC 4406 GC colors. Our data extend the range to smaller radii and strengthen this conclusion.

4.2. Magnitude Distribution

Globular cluster luminosity functions (GCLFs) can help to constrain GC formation theories and have been used as standard candles for distance determinations. Their use as a distance indicator is somewhat controversial as it depends on the universality of the absolute magnitude of the turnover of the GCLF (see Harris 1991). It has been suggested that the turnover depends on metallicity (Ashman *et al.* 1995) and the dispersion of the GCLF itself (Secker & Harris 1993). These issues, and an estimate of the Hubble constant from our GCLFs, will be considered in a future paper and are not discussed further here.

The variation of GC properties with galactocentric radius is of interest for formation and evolutionary theories of GCs. Although our data cover only a limited radial range (as set by the WFPC2 field-of-view), the central galaxy regions might be expected to show the most obvious signatures of some formation and destruction processes. For example, GC

formation from two merging spirals, may be expected to preferentially form new GCs in the inner regions (Ashman & Zepf 1992). The destruction processes, such as dynamical friction and tidal stripping are negligible beyond ~ 3 kpc (provided GCs are not on highly radial orbits), so that GCs at these distances reflect their formation properties (Murray & Lin 1993). Interior to this, low mass GCs may be subject to dynamical destruction processes (e.g. Aguilar, Hut & Ostriker 1988).

The most notable feature of Fig. 7 is that, for most galaxies, GC magnitude (and probably mass since the variation in M/L is expected to be small) does not vary with distance from the galaxy center. This uniformity is consistent with the formation scenarios of Harris & Pudritz (1994) and Vietri & Pesce (1995). Although there is some evidence for a radial trend in our Galaxy (van den Bergh 1995a). As noted in section 3.2, small differences are seen for some galaxies.

4.3. Color Distribution

The color distributions for the whole sample between $0 < V-I < 2$ are shown in Fig. 6 (which have been corrected for Galactic extinction but not internal reddening). The most obvious impression from Fig. 6 is that the average globular cluster color does not vary much from galaxy to galaxy. As discussed in section 4.5, this is partly an effect of the limited range in galaxy luminosity for our sample. Most GCs have colors between $0.5 < V-I < 1.5$. Although the mean color does not depend on magnitude, the dispersion in color increases significantly at fainter magnitudes. Is the spread in GC colors intrinsic to the GC system (suggesting a range in GC metallicities) or is it simply due to photometric errors ? We have compared the distribution of colors for the simulated GCs to those of the real GCs in NGC 4365 (a galaxy with no obvious dust and the richest GC system in our

sample). We find that the dispersion in NGC 4365 GC colors is greater, particularly at bright magnitudes, than expected from simulations. Thus although photometric errors play a role, there is some evidence that GC systems reveal an intrinsic range in color, and hence metallicity, within a single galaxy. This is also the situation from previous photometric and spectroscopic studies (see review by Harris 1991). Some galaxies have broad (NGC 4589 and NGC 5813) or possible bimodal color distributions (NGC 4494 and IC 1459).

We note that Ajhar *et al.* (1994) found a wide range of color distributions for their 10 ellipticals. This is probably partly due to contamination by foreground stars and small background galaxies in their ground-based data. After applying a 3σ color selection to their data, we find that the galaxies with over 25 GCs have a mean V–I color and dispersion of 1.07 ± 0.04 (NGC 3379), 1.10 ± 0.01 (NGC 4365), 0.92 ± 0.02 (NGC 4374), 0.98 ± 0.01 (NGC 4406), 1.08 ± 0.01 (NGC 4472) and 0.99 ± 0.02 (NGC 4552). So after excluding objects with extreme colors, the Ajhar *et al.* data set gives a similar result to ours, namely a fairly uniform color distribution between GC systems.

Bimodal, or multimodal, color distributions are expected in the merger model for globular cluster formation of Ashman & Zepf (1992). During the early stages of the merger a young, and initially blue, metal-rich GC population is formed. As this population ages, it rapidly reddens and after about one Gyr, can be characterised as a relatively metal-rich and red population of GCs. A bimodal metallicity distribution is seen in our Galaxy, with the metal-poor population associated with the halo and the more metal-rich with the disk. In this case the bimodality, may or may not, have been the result of a merger. To date, the few detections of bimodal distributions in ground-based data of early type galaxies have been somewhat controversial. Zepf & Ashman (1993) note that unimodal distributions can be ruled out in the Fornax cD galaxy NGC 1399, the dust lane elliptical NGC 5128 and the giant Virgo elliptical NGC 4472. In the case of NGC 4472, Ajhar *et al.* (1994)

obtained a larger number of GCs compared to that available to Zepf & Ashman (1993), for which they described the V–I color distribution as “uniform” between 0.85 and 1.30. Furthermore, Ajhar *et al.* found no visual evidence for bimodality in any of their other 9 early type galaxies, although they did not test for bimodality in a statistical way. Most recently, Geisler, Lee & Kim (1996) present a still larger sample of GCs in NGC 4472, in which they report strong bimodality.

Elson & Santiago (1996) have presented WFPC2 data on GCs that lie ~ 2.5 arcmin from the center of M87. Their V–I color distribution reveals a striking bimodality contrary to that of previous ground-based data (Lee & Geisler 1993; Couture, Harris & Allwright 1990). In this case the bimodality is supported by Whitmore *et al.* (1995) who studied GCs in the center of M87 with WFPC2. Neither Elson & Santiago nor Whitmore *et al.* discuss the radial distribution of their color subsamples.

The histograms of GC colors for our sample (Fig. 6) reveal a fairly symmetric distribution about a mean value, with two possible exceptions being NGC 4494 and IC 1459. In order to quantify the color distributions, we have carried out a Hermite analysis, to $V = 24$, for all galaxies. This tests the distribution for non-Gaussian shapes. In no case do we find a statistically significant (i.e. $>3\sigma$) deviation from a Gaussian profile. So although the color distributions for NGC 4494 and IC 1459 visually appear to be non-symmetric, the effect is not statistically significant given our sample size and errors. This does not rule out the possibility that with larger samples, deviations from a symmetric single-peaked Gaussian will be found. The two visual peaks are at $V-I \sim 0.95$ and 1.15 for NGC 4494, and $V-I \sim 1.05$ and 1.25 for IC 1459. Compared to the sample mean of $\langle V-I \rangle = 1.09$, the peaks in NGC 4494 straddle the mean, whereas for IC 1459 one peak is close to the mean value and the other appears to be an ‘additional’ red population. In order to investigate the spatial location of these color subsamples, we have examined their distribution in polar

coordinates, i.e. as a function of position angle and radius. We have defined subsamples of $0.5 < V-I < 0.95$ and $1.15 < V-I < 1.6$ for NGC 4494, and $0.5 < V-I < 1.05$ and $1.25 < V-I < 1.8$ for IC 1459. For both galaxies there is no strong trend with position angle nor galactocentric radius. (i.e. the color subsamples are not centrally concentrated). To summarize, the current situation is that there is good evidence for bimodality in a small number of ellipticals but many ellipticals show little or no evidence for bimodality. This may simply be due to the level of photometric errors or a limited number of GCs in each sample and remains an outstanding issue.

The results from ground-based data on radial gradients in GC properties has been summarized by Harris (1993). He concluded that for the Milky Way, M31, M104, NGC 5128 and M87 there is little evidence of color gradients for galactocentric distances beyond 4 kpc. However, definite gradients did exist for NGC 4472, NGC 4649 and NGC 1399 between 3 and 10 kpc. Our data probe, for the first time, regions less than a kpc from the galaxy center, and out to several kpc. Our sample galaxies have weak or non-existent GC color gradients (see Fig. 8). Five galaxies show a slight reddening trend close to the galaxy center which could in part be due to dust at small radii. One galaxy, NGC 4589, suggests a blueing trend, but this is probably due to dust that is distributed throughout the galaxy even at large radii. Fig. 13 shows a plot of V-I color vs radius for the whole sample. Again only a weak, but not statistically significant, reddening trend is seen.

4.4. Evidence for Young Globular Clusters ?

HST observations of NGC 1275 (Holtzman *et al.* 1992), NGC 7252 (Whitmore *et al.* 1993) and NGC 4038/4039 (Whitmore & Schweizer 1995), which are thought to have recently undergone a merger, reveal a population of bright ($V \sim 21$), blue ($V-I \sim 0.6$)

semi-stellar objects. These blue objects tend to be centrally located and larger (by a factor of 2–3) than the red objects. Such characteristics suggest that they are young GCs formed in the merger of two gas-rich galaxies. On the other hand, van den Bergh (1995b) has argued that these objects are more like *open* rather than *globular* clusters.

Examination of Fig. 5 in Whitmore *et al.* (1993) clearly reveals several bright, blue objects with many fewer red objects. Given the presence of dynamically-young dust and gas features in our sample galaxies, do we see evidence for a population of bright, blue and centrally located GCs? A quick visual inspection of the color maps in Carollo *et al.* (1996) shows straight away that we do not find a large population of such GCs. Ruling out a smaller population of faint, blue GCs in our sample is difficult due to the dust. As indicated in section 2.1, for non-dusty galaxies such as NGC 4365, we detect the vast majority ($\sim 95\%$) of sources in the PC frame. Even for the galaxies with more extensive dust (NGC 4278, NGC 4589 and IC 1459), the fraction of totally obscured GCs is probably not large given the relatively small covering fraction of dust.

We have visually inspected the PC image of each galaxy, searching by eye for any possible GCs not detected by *daophot*, particularly those along the edges of dust lanes. Although not objective, the eye is remarkably good at pattern recognition and detects ~ 5 faint, pointlike objects per galaxy that were not detected by *daophot*. These objects tend to be much more elongated than the detected GCs and have magnitudes $23 < V < 25$. They have a wide range of colors from very red to very blue, with an average color similar to that of the brighter detected GCs. There is no evidence for an extended blue tail in the color distribution of very faint objects. Indeed their extreme colors can largely be explained by photometric errors at such magnitudes.

Thus although there may exist a handful of genuine GCs with blue colors, they are not a dominant population at any magnitude or spatial location. Follow-up spectroscopy with

8–10m class telescopes may be the only way to determine unambiguously whether the blue objects are young globular clusters.

4.5. Metallicity Distribution

In so far as V–I color corresponds to metallicity (i.e ignoring age effects), we have used the relation of Couture *et al.* (1990), to give the range in GC metallicity listed in Table 2. The mean metallicity of the whole sample is $[\text{Fe}/\text{H}] = -0.62$. The most metal poor ($[\text{Fe}/\text{H}] = -1.10$) GC system is that of NGC 4406. The most metal rich is that of NGC 4589, but the GC colors are probably reddened by the extensive dust present throughout the galaxy. For comparison, Milky Way GCs peak at $[\text{Fe}/\text{H}] \sim -1.6$ for halo GCs and -0.5 for disk GCs, with the ratio of halo to disk GCs being about 5:1. Thus our elliptical galaxy GC systems have metallicities similar to those of the Milky Way disk GCs and not halo GCs. The weak radial color gradients seen in Fig. 8 suggest also that the radial metallicity gradients are quite small, with the less metal rich GCs at large distances. With a larger radial coverage, more convincing trends are seen in other galaxies, e.g. M87 (Lee & Geisler 1993). A strong metallicity gradient is indicative of dissipational collapse, although a gradient in the same sense is also expected in the Ashman & Zepf (1992) merger model.

In Fig. 8, we show the variation of V–I color for the GCs and the underlying galaxy in the central kpc from Carollo *et al.* (1996). The mean systematic offset of $\Delta (V-I) \sim 0.10 \pm 0.02$ corresponds to a metallicity offset of $\Delta [\text{Fe}/\text{H}] \sim 0.50$ dex. This is in the sense that GCs are more metal poor than their parent galaxies at any given galactocentric distance. For the few GC systems studied to date, spectral metallicity determinations also give $\Delta [\text{Fe}/\text{H}] \sim 0.5$ dex between the GCs and the parent galaxy (Brodie & Huchra 1991; Harris 1991). Thus the photometric and spectroscopically derived metallicity determinations give

similar values. These differences are consistent with a scenario in which GCs formed before the stars in their parent galaxy (though one can not rule out subsequent formation from low metallicity gas).

It has been suggested that the mean metallicity of a GC system increases with the parent galaxy luminosity for all galaxy types (e.g. van den Bergh 1975; Brodie & Huchra 1991). This relation has been questioned by Ashman & Bird (1993) who suggest that no such correlation exists for non-spirals (they excluded ellipticals from their analysis), and that all halo GC systems have a mean metallicity of $[\text{Fe}/\text{H}] \sim -1.6$ irrespective of the parent galaxy luminosity. However, Perelmuter (1995) found that the dwarfs, spirals and irregular galaxies *do* show a trend of metallicity with luminosity. Ellipticals are offset from this relation to higher mean metallicity for a given luminosity. This offset is interpreted by Perelmuter as a consequence of elliptical galaxies having formed by the merger of two spirals. The exact nature of the GC metallicity – galaxy luminosity relation has important implications for galaxy and GC formation and these contradictory findings need to be resolved. A crucial ingredient in resolving this issue is to have a large sample of galaxies, particularly at intermediate luminosities in order to explore the parameter space between dwarfs and massive galaxies. Of the previous studies, Brodie & Huchra (1991) had a sample of 10 galaxies, Ashman & Bird (1993) used 7 and Perelmuter (1995) had 17 galaxies. None of these studies had many galaxies with luminosities $-17 < M_V < -21$.

To further investigate this issue, we have combined the spectroscopic compilation of Perelmuter (1995) with metallicities, inferred from V–I colors, for our sample and that of Ajhar *et al.* (1994). This gives by far the largest total sample to date of 32 galaxies, with many at intermediate luminosities. The GC mean metallicity is plotted against parent galaxy luminosity ($h = 0.75$) for this combined sample in Fig. 14. Data for NGC 4472 are plotted twice, giving both spectroscopic ($[\text{Fe}/\text{H}] = -0.80$) and photometric ($[\text{Fe}/\text{H}]$

$= -0.65$) metallicities. Although there may be some offset in the photometrically versus spectroscopically determined metallicities, it is a relatively small effect. An important distinction from some earlier studies, is that we have subtracted off a disk component for the spirals using the mean bulge-to-disk ratios for different Hubble types given by Simien & de Vaucouleurs (1986). This gives an approximate bulge magnitude for each spiral galaxy which is probably more appropriate to use than the total galaxy luminosity, as most of our Galaxy’s GCs are associated with the bulge. This is not practical for the SMC ($M_V = -16.9$) and the LMC ($M_V = -18.6$) for which we have used total luminosity. We have included new galaxies from Ajhar *et al.* (1994) that have more than 25 GCs after 3σ color rejection (i.e. NGC 3379, NGC 4374 and NGC 4552) but excluded NGC 4589 as its GC colors are probably strongly affected by dust reddening. A weighted linear regression fit to the data points gives:

$$[\text{Fe}/\text{H}] = -0.17 \pm 0.01 M_V - 4.3 \tag{5}$$

We have not made any effort to ensure that the error bars are consistent between the different data sets, nor to correct for any systematic offset between data sets, and so we can not speculate about whether the scatter in the relation is intrinsic or not (however for the luminous galaxies the rms scatter appears to be ~ 0.4 dex in metallicity). However, we note that an unweighted fit gives a similar relation, i.e. $[\text{Fe}/\text{H}] = -0.16 \pm 0.02 M_V - 4.1$. For the fits we have used only the spectroscopically determined $[\text{Fe}/\text{H}]$ for NGC 4472. Brodie & Huchra (1991) found a slope of -0.13 ± 0.02 for a small sample of GC systems. The galaxies themselves obey a similar metallicity–luminosity relation, and for a large sample (~ 70), Brodie & Huchra (1991) found the slope to be -0.17 ± 0.03 . Thus with a larger number of GC systems, we find that the GC metallicity–luminosity relation has the same slope as

the galaxy metallicity–luminosity relation, with a form of $Z \propto L^{0.4}$. This suggests that the same physical process governing gas enrichment occurred in both GCs and galaxies.

Da Costa & Armandroff (1995) have identified 3 or 4 GCs associated with the recently discovered Sagittarius dwarf spheroidal galaxy (Ibata, Gilmore & Irwin 1994). The 4 GCs give an average metallicity of $[\text{Fe}/\text{H}] = -1.4$, and if we exclude the GC with a peculiar metallicity, the remaining 3 give $[\text{Fe}/\text{H}] = -1.8$. The correlation in Fig. 14 would predict $M_V = -17.0$ to -14.7 for this range of metallicity. The absolute magnitude of the Sagittarius dwarf is uncertain, Da Costa & Armandroff suggest that it is around $M_V \sim -15$. So the GC system in the Sagittarius dwarf also appears to be consistent with the metallicity–luminosity correlation.

Ajhar *et al.* (1994) claim that there is a dependence of GC metallicity on environment in their data set. They point out that both NGC 4374 and NGC 4406, which lie close to the center of the Virgo cluster potential well, have relatively blue (i.e. low metallicity) globular clusters. Indeed both of these galaxies lie marginally below the locus of galaxies that have a similar luminosity in Fig. 14, but given the uncertainty in both photometrically and spectroscopically derived metallicities it would be premature to draw any conclusions at this stage.

To summarize, we find a correlation between GC system mean metallicity and parent galaxy luminosity (i.e. $Z \propto L^{0.4}$) over almost 10 magnitudes, confirming the suggestion first made by van den Bergh (1975). The continuity appears to extend from dwarf ellipticals through the Magellanic Clouds and spiral bulges to giant ellipticals, unlike that seen in the smaller samples of Ashman & Bird (1993; there is no relation for dwarfs and spirals) and Perelmuter (1995; the relation exists only for spirals). This suggests a common origin for the GC populations over a large range of galaxy types. Although some type of metallicity–luminosity relationship would be expected in the merger of two spirals, it needs

to be shown that such a merger will produce the type of relationship shown in Fig. 14.

The work of Guzmán *et al.* (1993) on the fundamental plane of spheroidal systems suggests that the only difference between dwarf and giant ellipticals is the relative scaling between the size of the initial dark halo and the size of the final light distribution. In particular, dwarf ellipticals obey the same luminosity–sigma and metallicity–sigma relations as giant ellipticals. Thus qualitatively, we can explain the GC mean metallicity vs galaxy luminosity relation in terms of depth of the potential well and the galaxy’s ability to retain metal rich gas. The continuity in this relation from dwarf to giant ellipticals fits in well with the continuity seen in GC specific frequencies and the galaxy fundamental plane relations.

Although we can not rule out alternatives at this stage, the similarity of the metallicity–luminosity relation for GCs and the galaxy stellar population, and the small offset to lower metallicity seen in GCs seems consistent with the idea that the majority of GCs in elliptical galaxies formed during a coalescence phase, perhaps shortly before the main epochs of star formation (see also Harris 1993).

4.6. Surface Density Distribution

Surface density profiles for our sample are shown in Fig. 9. This figure indicates that the number of GCs per unit area rises less steeply than the underlying galaxy, i.e. it does not continue to rise as a power law. Such effects are difficult to see from ground–based data but have been seen in a few cases (see Harris 1991). Other HST studies also find this effect (e.g. Grillmair *et al.* 1994a).

All fourteen of our sample galaxies reveal a turnover, or core, in their log surface density distribution. There are several effects which may give the appearance of a reduced rise in GC density in the inner regions: 1) a brighter limiting magnitude cutoff in the PC image, 2)

changes in the GC luminosity function with radius, 3) obscuration due to dust, 4) crowding of GCs. The first two possibilities do not appear to be significant since our simulations showed no radial variation in magnitude, and the GC luminosity functions are consistent within sampling errors for GCs at small and large galactocentric distances. Furthermore the detection fraction is similar from CCD-to-CCD, so that the detection characteristics in the PC image are similar to those in the WFC images. The third possibility can not be ruled out, but the galaxies with no obvious dust (NGC 4365 and NGC 5982) also have clear cores in their surface density distributions. Finally, even in the PC image, the density of GCs is not so high that they are blended together. So crowding can be ruled out. Thus the cores seem to be real, and typically have sizes of $r_c \sim 2$ kpc. In Fig. 10 we show a weak correlation between core radius and galaxy luminosity. This correlation is in the sense that more massive ellipticals have larger core radii. Alternatively, the most luminous galaxies (i.e. those with $M_V \leq -21$) have large cores, but for the small, rotating ellipticals the core radius is weakly, if at all, dependent on galaxy luminosity.

The Milky Way galaxy also shows a reduction in the surface density of GCs interior to about 1 kpc, and if we used the magnitude of the bulge it would lie close to the fit in Fig. 10. The core in the Milky Way GC distribution has been attributed to destruction processes that have occurred after formation (e.g. Aguilar *et al.* 1988). However, in the case of NGC 3311, Grillmair *et al.* (1994a) concluded that the core radius of the distribution was too large to have been caused by dynamical friction even if operating for a Hubble time. Similar arguments can be made for the galaxies in our sample with the largest core radii. Furthermore, we do not expect dynamical friction, or tidal shocking, to produce a core radius with a steep dependency on galaxy mass as suggested by Fig. 10. As destruction processes seem unlikely to explain the core distribution, the simplest explanation may be that the GC distribution is established at the epoch of formation.

4.7. Azimuthal Distribution

In Fig. 11 we show the distribution of GCs as a function of position angle about the major axis. In several cases the GC distribution peaks close to the galaxy major axis. There are also notable exceptions, e.g. both NGC 4589 and IC 1459 have peaks close to 45° to the major and minor axes. For NGC 4406, there is a small enhancement at position angle $\sim -75^\circ$ due to a companion (?) galaxy with its own small GC population. Combining the GC systems of all galaxies, we find a mean position angle that is consistent with the major axis of the galaxy itself (i.e. $3 \pm 15^\circ$). This is also the case if we restrict the galaxies more elongated than E1 for which the position angle is better defined. The mean ellipticity is given by the quadrature sum of $\langle \sin 2\phi \rangle$ and $\langle \cos 2\phi \rangle$ for a radial density distribution of $\rho \propto r^{-2}$. This gives an average ellipticity for the GC systems of E0–E1, i.e. slightly rounder than the average galaxy light (see Table 1). The azimuthal distribution in a few other galaxies have been studied, e.g. M87 (McLaughlin *et al.* 1994). McLaughlin *et al.* find that the GC system of M87 has a major axis that roughly coincides with the galaxy isophotes, and has an ellipticity that increases with galactocentric distance, i.e. it is rounder near the galaxy center and flattens in the outer regions.

4.8. Implications for Globular Cluster Formation

In the last few years quantitative information about extragalactic GC systems has grown rapidly. Such information now provides useful constraints on possible GC formation scenarios (Harris 1993). For example, correlations of GC properties with the parent galaxy would argue against a pre-galactic origin for GCs, where GCs formed before the dark matter potential wells had assembled (see Peebles & Dicke 1968). Furthermore, the

properties of GCs (e.g. kinematics, specific frequency and metallicity) do not match those expected if they formed from a cooling flow (e.g. Harris, Pritchett & McClure 1995; Grillmair *et al.* 1994b). In this section we focus on the implications of our results, and those in the literature, for two general formation mechanisms. The first possibility is that GCs form at roughly the same epoch as the parent elliptical during the early collapse and coalescence at high redshift (e.g. Searle & Zinn 1978; Harris & Pudritz 1994). Alternatively, the late merger of two spiral galaxies gives rise to an extended population of metal poor GCs (Hernquist & Bolte 1993) and a centrally concentrated population of new metal rich GCs (Ashman & Zepf 1992). We note that studies of nearby galaxies may only provide circumstantial evidence on the general problem of galaxy formation.

Starting with the latter, Zepf & Ashman (1993) have noted that their model can explain many of the observational constraints. In particular, they account for the shallower slope of the density profiles of GC systems as the ‘puffing-up’ of the metal poor GC distribution. This also gives rise to a metallicity (and color) radial gradients, that is offset to lower metallicity compared to the underlying galaxy, at any given radius. Perhaps the clearest prediction of the Ashman & Zepf model is a bimodal metallicity (and hence color) distribution as discussed in section 4.3. The new metal rich GCs are expected to be more centrally concentrated and at least as numerous as those of the original metal poor GCs. Within the limitations of each GC system size (the richest system contained 328 GCs), we found no statistically convincing cases for a bimodal color distribution. Furthermore, the relative numbers of metal rich to metal poor GCs suggested by those distributions is $\sim 1:1$ and not $3:1$ as might be needed to explain the large numbers of GCs seen in some ellipticals (see also van den Bergh 1995c). The number of ellipticals with clear bimodal metallicity distributions in the literature is small and they tend to be massive ellipticals (too massive to be formed from two typical spirals). In our sample, we do not find evidence for a distinct red (metal rich) population that is centrally concentrated. Although a population of young,

blue GCs appear to have formed in several merging galaxies, there is little evidence to support the presence of such objects in present day ellipticals, even in those with unsettled dust distributions indicative of a recent accretion event. Perhaps the most interesting new results of this work, that need to be understood in the context of the Ashman & Zepf (1992) merger model, are the correlations of GC surface density core radius and GC mean metallicity with parent galaxy luminosity.

Similarly, no firm predictions exist for scenarios in which GCs form in an early collapse of unevolved galaxies, as most simulations of galaxy formation can not yet resolve details at this level. The discussion therefore remains somewhat schematic, and can be only tested for rough consistency. With these caveats, the differences between the GC density and metallicity profiles to those of the underlying galaxy can be understood if the GC system formed slightly earlier in the initial coalescence phase than the galaxy itself (see Harris 1993). Thus for a given galaxy, GCs form in a more extended proto-galaxy than the galaxy’s stars, resulting in a lower metallicity and more extended distribution for the GCs. For a virialized system, the variation in these properties is correlated with the depth of the potential well, which is in turn presumably related to the galaxy total luminosity. This scenario is similar to that envisaged by Eggen, Lynden-Bell & Sandage (1962) and would suggest that the difference in metallicity at a given galactocentric radius (i.e. $\Delta [\text{Fe}/\text{H}] \sim 0.5$ dex) corresponds to the timescale for galaxy collapse and star formation.

5. Summary and Conclusions

Very little is known about globular clusters in the central regions of elliptical galaxies, due to the effects of seeing/crowding on a bright galaxy background, in current ground-based observations. These problems are largely overcome using *HST*, which has

the additional advantage that the effective contamination from foreground stars and background galaxies is very small. From WFPC2 imaging, we have identified globular clusters in the central few kpc of 14 elliptical galaxies with kinematically-distinct cores (generally thought to be the result of an accretion of a gas-rich galaxy). These regions, close to the galaxy center, are where we expect to see the strongest signatures of some formation and destruction processes. Our sample substantially increases the number of extragalactic globular clusters systems studied to date.

After subtracting the background galaxy, we have used *daophot* to detect globular cluster candidates. We then measure sizes and magnitudes for these objects. Objects close to hot pixels, or those with extreme colors or large sizes, are removed from the candidate list giving ~ 200 objects per galaxy, the vast majority of which are globular clusters. We have determined accurate positions, V magnitudes, V–I colors and the spatial distribution of these globular clusters to a limiting magnitude of $V \sim 25$. The globular cluster sample is complete to $V \sim 24$. Comparison with the $V \leq 22.5$ KPNO 4m observations of Ajhar *et al.* (1994) show good agreement for the two galaxies in common.

Before examining the magnitude, color and spatial distribution of our sample globular clusters, we performed simulations to ensure that any trends seen were real and not simply some selection effect. In confirmation with previous ground-based studies, we find little or no trend for the globular cluster luminosity (and therefore mass, since M/L changes are expected to be small) to vary with distance from the galaxy center (galactocentric radius). Although we derive luminosity functions, we defer their discussion and any implications for the Hubble constant to a future paper. We find that the mean globular cluster color is remarkably constant with magnitude, and that the spread in color, at any given magnitude, is intrinsic to the GC system. The fact that the mean color is very similar from galaxy to galaxy (i.e. $\langle V-I \rangle = 1.09 \pm 0.01$), probably reflects the small range in galaxy luminosity

for our sample. Some galaxies show a weak reddening trend at small galactocentric radii, suggesting that globular clusters in the inner region are relatively more metal rich than those further out. This effect does *not* appear to be due to dust but we can not rule it out. Many galaxies are consistent with no radial globular cluster color gradient. For all sample galaxies, the globular cluster colors are bluer (more metal poor) than the underlying galaxy starlight at any given galactocentric radius. This difference, $\Delta (V-I) \sim 0.10 \pm 0.02$, corresponds to ~ 0.5 dex in $[\text{Fe}/\text{H}]$ which is consistent with that found spectroscopically for other galaxies (Brodie & Huchra 1991; Harris 1991).

Perhaps one of the most significant results from this work is the good correlation seen between globular cluster mean metallicity and parent galaxy luminosity. Such a relationship was suggested by van den Bergh (1975) and Brodie & Huchra (1991). We have significantly increased the number of galaxies in the relation by including photometrically derived metallicities for our sample and those from Ajhar *et al.* (1994) giving a total sample of over 30 spheroidal systems. The correlation implies that $Z \propto L^{0.4}$ over roughly 10 magnitudes, with a slope that is the same as that for the galaxy metallicity–luminosity relation. This continuity from dwarf ellipticals, to spiral bulges to giant ellipticals provides a valuable constraint on galaxy and globular cluster formation. In particular, we need to understand how giant ellipticals and their globular cluster population could form as the result of a merger of two typical spiral galaxies and reproduce the observed trend over many galaxy types and masses. We have also examined the radial and azimuthal distribution of globular clusters. We find that the surface density of globular clusters rises less steeply than the underlying galaxy light. Such an effect is not adequately explained by different completeness levels at small radii, dust obscuration or blending of objects. A core model provides a good description to the radial surface density profile. More luminous galaxies in our sample have larger core radii, which would seem to rule out destruction of the globular clusters by dynamical friction as an explanation for the observed radial distribution. For

most galaxies, the GCs are closely aligned with the galaxy isophotes but they may have a slightly rounder distribution than the galaxy itself.

The correlations of globular cluster surface density core radius and mean metallicity with parent galaxy luminosity provide new constraints on models for the formation of globular clusters. We suggest that the properties of globular clusters are most consistent with their formation during the the early collapse and coalescence phase at high redshift.

Acknowledgments

We thank J. Brodie and C. Grillmair for many useful discussions. We also thank the referee, S. van den Bergh, for his many suggestions, in particular noting the alternative interpretation of figure 10. This research was funded by the HST grant GO-3551.01-91A

References

- Aguilar, L., Hut, P., & Ostriker, J. P. 1988, ApJ, 335, 720
- Ajhar, E. A., Blakeslee, J. P., & Tonry, J. L. 1994, AJ, 108, 2087
- Ashman, K. M., & Bird, C. M. 1993, AJ, 106, 2281
- Ashman, K. M., & Zepf, S. E. 1992, ApJ, 384, 50
- Ashman, K. M., Conti, A., & Zepf, S. E. 1995, AJ, 110, 1164
- Balcells, M., & Quinn, P. J. 1990, ApJ, 361, 381
- Baum, W. A., *et al.* 1995, AJ, 110, 2537
- Bender, R., Surma, P., Dobereiner, C., & Madejsky, R. 1989, A & A, 217, 35
- Bender, R., & Surma, P. 1992, A & A, 258, 250
- Bender, R., Burstein, D., & Faber, S. M. 1992, ApJ, 399, 462
- Brodie, J. P., & Huchra, J. 1991, ApJ, 379, 157
- Burrows, C., *et al.* 1993, Hubble Space Telescope Wide Field and Planetary Camera 2 Instrument Handbook, STScI
- Carollo, C. M. *et al.* 1996, ApJ, submitted
- Cohen, J. 1988, AJ, 95, 682
- Couture, J., Harris, W. E., & Allwright, J. W. B., 1990, ApJS, 73, 671
- Couture, J., Harris, W. E., & Allwright, J. W. B., 1991, ApJ, 372, 97
- Da Costa, G. S., & Armandroff, T. E. 1995, AJ, 109, 2533
- Eggen, O. J., Lynden-Bell, D., & Sandage, A. 1962, ApJ, 136, 748
- Elson, R. A. W., & Santiago, B. X. 1996, MNRAS, in press
- Fabian, A., Nulsen, P., & Canizares, C. 1984, Nature, 310, 733
- Forbes, D. A., & Thomson, R. C. 1992, MNRAS, 254, 723
- Forbes, D. A., Franx, M., & Illingworth, G. D. 1995, AJ, 109, 1988
- Forbes, D. A., Elson, R. A. W., Phillips, A. C., Illingworth, G. D. & Koo, D. C. 1994, ApJ, 437, L17

- Geisler, D., Lee, M. G., & Kim, E. 1996, AJ, submitted
- Goudfrooij, P., Hansen, L., Jorgensen, H. E., & Norgaard Nielson, H. U. 1994, A & AS, 105, 341
- Grillmair, C. 1995, personal communication
- Grillmair, C. *et al.* 1994a, AJ, 108, 102
- Grillmair, C. *et al.* 1994b, ApJ, 422, L7
- Guzmán, R., Lucey, J. R., & Bower, R. G. 1993, MNRAS, 265, 731
- Hanes, D. A. 1977, Mem. RAS, 84, 45
- Harris, H. C., Baum, W. A., Hunter, D. A., & Kreidel, T. J. 1991, AJ, 101, 677
- Harris, W. E. 1991, ARAA, 29, 543
- Harris, W. E. 1993, The Globular Cluster – Galaxy Connection, p. 472, ed. G. Smith and J. Brodie, ASP conference series, San Francisco
- Harris, W. E. *et al.* 1986, AJ, 91, 822
- Harris, W. E., & Pudritz, R. E. 1994, 429, 177
- Harris, W. E., & van den Bergh, S. 1981, AJ, 86, 1627
- Harris, W. E., Pritchett, C. J., & McClure, R. D. 1995, ApJ, 441, 120
- Hernquist, L., & Barnes, J. E. 1991, Nature, 354, 210
- Hernquist, L., & Bolte, M. 1993, The Globular Cluster – Galaxy Connection, p. 788, ed. G. Smith and J. Brodie, ASP conference series, San Francisco
- Holtzman, J., *et al.* 1992, AJ, 103, 691
- Holtzman, J., *et al.* 1995a, PASP, 107, 156
- Holtzman, J., *et al.* 1995b, PASP, 107, 1065
- Ibata, R. A., Gilmore, G., & Irwin, M. J. 1994, Nature, 370, 194
- Illingworth, G. D., & Franx, M. 1989, Dynamics of Dense Stellar Systems, p. 13, ed. D. Merritt, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge
- Lee, M. G., & Geisler, D. 1993, AJ, 106, 493

- McLaughlin, D. E., Harris, W. E., & Hanes, D. A. 1994, *ApJ*, 422, 486
- Murray, S. D., & Lin, D. N. C. 1993, *The Globular Cluster – Galaxy Connection*, p. 738, ed. G. Smith and J. Brodie, ASP conference series, San Francisco
- Peebles, P. J. E., & Dicke, R. H. 1984, *ApJ*, 277, 470
- Perelmuter, J. 1995, *ApJ*, 454, 762
- Phillips, A. C. *et al.* 1995, in preparation
- Roberts, M. S., Hogg, D. E., Bregman, J. N., Forman, W. R., & Jones, C. 1991, *ApJS*, 75, 751
- Searle, L., & Zinn, R. 1978, *ApJ*, 437, 214
- Secker, J., & Harris, W. E. 1993, *AJ*, 105, 1358
- Schweizer, F. 1987, *Nearly Normal Galaxies*, p. 18, ed. S. Faber, Springer-Verlag, New York
- Simien, F., & de Vaucouleurs, G. 1986, *ApJ*, 302, 564
- Stetson, P. B., 1987, *PASP*, 99, 191
- van den Bergh, S. 1975, *ARAA*, 13, 217
- van den Bergh, S. 1990, *Dynamics and Interactions of Galaxies*, p. 492, ed. R. Wielen, Springer-Verlag, Berlin
- van den Bergh, S. 1995a, *AJ*, 110, 1171
- van den Bergh, S. 1995b, *ApJ*, 450, 27
- van den Bergh, S. 1995c, *AJ*, 110, 2700
- Vietri, M., & Pesce, E. 1995, *ApJ*, 442, 618
- Whitmore, B. C. 1995, personal communication
- Whitmore, B. C., & Schweizer, F. 1995, *AJ*, 109, 960
- Whitmore, B. C., Schweizer, F., Leitherer, C. Borne, K., & Robert, C. 1993, *AJ*, 106, 1354
- Whitmore, B. C., Sparks, W. B., Lucas, R. A., Macchetto, F. D., & Biretta, J. A. 1995, *ApJ*, in press
- Zepf, S. E., & Ashman, K. M. 1993, *MNRAS*, 264, 611

Figure Captions

Figure 1 (Plate ***) Grey scale WFPC2 image of NGC 1427 after galaxy subtraction. The blank region between CCDs has been removed as this is in the pyramid shadow. Here the PC CCD is shown full size (upper left), even though its area coverage is only a quarter that of the WFC CCDs. The horizontal bar in the lower right represents a WFC scale of 30 arcsec. The image reveals over 150 globular clusters with magnitudes $19 < V < 25$.

Figure 2 Photometric errors from simulations. The difference between the input magnitude of the simulated globular cluster and that measured by *daophot* is shown against input magnitude. A photometric error of $\pm 0.1^m$ is reached at $V \sim 24$ and $I \sim 22$.

Figure 3a Completeness function from simulations. The fraction of recovered globular clusters is shown against input magnitude. A fit to the completeness function is shown by a dashed line. The 100% completeness level is $V \sim 24$. **b)** Variation of the output globular cluster V magnitude as a function of galactocentric radius from simulations. **c)** Radial variation of output V–I color (a value of 1.0 was input). There are no intrinsic radial gradients in magnitude or color for simulated globular clusters.

Figure 4 Color–magnitude diagrams for the sample galaxies. Typical error bars are shown in the top left panel. Globular cluster color is fairly constant with magnitude.

Figure 5 Globular cluster luminosity functions for the sample galaxies. The luminosity functions have not been corrected for completeness effects.

Figure 6 Histograms of globular cluster V–I colors for the sample galaxies. The mean color for the combined sample is $V-I = 1.09$. Most galaxies reveal a fairly tight distribution, with two (NGC 4494 and IC 1459) showing evidence for a possible bimodal color distribution.

Figure 7 Globular cluster V magnitude vs galactocentric radius. The sample is ordered into nearby, intermediate and more distant galaxies. For this and subsequent figures we only plot globular clusters within 3σ of the mean color. Most galaxies show no radial gradient in the mean magnitude for the globular cluster system.

Figure 8 Globular cluster V–I color vs galactocentric radius. The sample is ordered as in Fig. 7. Galaxies reveal a weak, or no, radial color gradient for their globular clusters. We also show the V–I color gradient (dashed line) for the underlying galaxy in the central kpc from Carollo *et al.* (1996). In each case the globular cluster mean color is bluer than the underlying starlight.

Figure 9 Globular cluster surface density vs galactocentric radius. The sample is ordered as in Fig. 7. Error bars represent Poisson errors. The dashed line represents the underlying galaxy light normalized by an arbitrary offset. All galaxies reveal a turnover, or core, in the GC density distribution.

Figure 10 Core radius vs parent galaxy luminosity. The solid line represents a weighted fit to the sample. More luminous galaxies tend to have more extended globular cluster systems.

Figure 11 Histograms of globular cluster position angles for the sample galaxies after restricting the position angles to one hemisphere of the galaxy. The galaxy major axis is located at 0° .

Figure 12 Globular cluster V–I color vs galactocentric radius for NGC 4365 and NGC 4406. Our sample is represented by filled circles and the ground-based data of Ajhar *et al.* (1994), after a 3σ color rejection, by open squares. For both galaxies the two data sets are in good agreement.

Figure 13 Globular cluster V–I color vs galactocentric radius for the combined sample. A weak reddening trend towards the galaxy center is seen.

Figure 14 Mean globular cluster metallicity vs absolute V magnitude of the parent galaxy. Circles represent photometrically-derived $[\text{Fe}/\text{H}]$ and squares spectroscopically-derived $[\text{Fe}/\text{H}]$. Our sample is given by filled circles and the ground-based data of Ajhar *et al.* (1994) by open circles. Spectroscopic metallicities are from the compilation of Perelmuter (1995). Although there may exist a small offset between photometric and spectroscopic metallicities, there is a strong correlation between the mean metallicity of the GC system and the parent galaxy luminosity over several orders of magnitude. A weighted fit (shown by a solid line) gives $[\text{Fe}/\text{H}] = 0.17 M_V - 4.3$. Realistic errors, within and between different data sets, are difficult to assess and so it is not clear if the scatter about the relation is intrinsic. A non-weighted fit gives a similar relation. For this plot we have assumed $h = 0.75$. The galaxies include dwarf ellipticals, the Magellanic Clouds, bulges of nearby spirals and giant ellipticals.

